










ARPA Scoring Matrix

Pillar Name: _____
 Project Name: _____
 Evaluator Print Name: _____
 Evaluator Signature: _____

	 Eligibility	 Complexity	 Cost	 Community Benefitting	 Equity	 Evidence of Sustainability	 Census Track Eligibility	 Due Diligence Companion Funds	 Infrastructure (Internal Task Force ONLY)
0	Does not meet Treasury guidelines	Highly Complex: Requires 12+ months to implement	\$2M+	Less than 25% of Community Benefitting	No impact on Pillar equity goals	No funding identified for multi-year program sustainability	Does not Meet Census Track Eligibility	No Evidence of Matching Funding Sources	Does not align with Federal draft legislation
2	Likely meets Treasury guidelines	Medium Complex: Requires 3-12 months to implement	\$500,000 to \$2M	26% to 50% of Community Benefitting	Some impact on Pillar equity goals	Possible funding identified for multi-year program sustainability	Likely Meets Census Track Eligibility	Some Evidence of Matching Funding Sources	May align with Federal draft legislation
4	Clearly meets Treasury guidelines	Light Complex: Can be implemented in 3 months or less	\$0 to \$500,000	Majority of Community (51%+) Benefitting	High impact on Pillar equity goals	Funding has been identified for multi-year program sustainability	Clearly Meets Census Track Eligibility	Clear Evidence of Matching Funding Sources	Likely aligns with Federal draft legislation

*Programs with the highest “score” to be considered as most impactful and of the highest priority

*Sustainability – Defined as “ability to fund program beyond one-time use of ARPA funds”

*Matching Funds – Defined as “other companion funding sources” (ie: Federal, State, Private, Non-profit)